



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X  
SHAWN I. MCCROREY,

Plaintiff,

- against -

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.  
-----X

20-CV-10493 (RWL)

**ORDER: ATTORNEYS' FEES**

**ROBERT W. LEHRBURGER, United States Magistrate Judge.**

Earlier in this case, Plaintiff successfully obtained remand of an adverse decision denying his application for Social Security Disability benefits. Following remand, Plaintiff successfully obtained a substantial award of retroactive benefits. Plaintiff's counsel previously received \$10,000 for work performed at the administrative level, as well as \$3,200 (including \$3,171.25 in fees and \$28.75 in expenses) under the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"). Plaintiff's counsel now has applied for an award of attorneys' fees for counsel's work on this court action in the amount of \$5,291.68 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1). The Court has reviewed the parties' respective filings and GRANTS an award of the requested fees.

Section 406 discretely addresses attorney's' fees expended in connection with administrative proceedings, 42 U.S.C. § 406(a), and those in connection with a challenge in court, 42 U.S.C. § 406(b). Under Section 406(b), attorneys' fees may not exceed 25 percent of the total past-due benefits to which the claimant is entitled. 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1)(A). The 25 percent cap applies only to fees for representation before the court, not the agency; although Section 406(a) also has a cap, the Supreme Court has held that

the cap under Section 406(b) is not a cap on the aggregate of fees awarded under Sections 406(a) and 406(b). *Culbertson v. Berryhill*, 139 S. Ct. 517, 519 (2019).

Another source of attorneys' fees for social security disability cases is the EAJA. If, however, an attorneys' fees award under Section 406(b) exceeds the EAJA funds received, then the claimant's attorney must refund to the claimant the amount of the smaller fee. In other words, there is a dollar-for-dollar offset of any 42 U.S.C. 406(b) fee by an EAJA award. See *Gisbrecht v. Barnhart*, 535 U.S. 789, 796 (2002).

Typically, as here, social security benefit practitioners work on a contingency-fee arrangement. The Court is charged with the obligation to review such arrangements for reasonableness. *Gisbrecht*, 535 U.S. at 808 (2002). In assessing reasonableness, the Court considers, among other factors, the character of the representation and the result obtained. *Id.* at 808. The Court also considers whether the contingency arrangement is the result of fraud or over-reaching and whether the fee would bring a windfall to claimant's counsel. *Wells v. Sullivan*, 907 F.2d 367, 372 (2d Cir. 1990).

The Court finds that the amount requested here is reasonable and is neither the result of fraud or over-reaching, nor a windfall to counsel. The amount requested is less than 25 percent of the claimant's retroactive benefits. Plaintiff's counsel expended 12.3 attorney hours of work and 7.8 paralegal hours of work on the case, which amount the Court deems reasonable in light of the tasks performed. Considering the hours expended together with the amount of fees requested yields a *de facto* hourly rate of approximately \$263.27, or as Plaintiff submits, an effective hourly rate of \$366.80 per billed hour of attorney time and \$100.00 per billed hour of paralegal time. That rate is well within the range that courts have approved in these types of cases. See, e.g., *Baron v. Astrue*, 311

F. Supp. 3d 633 (S.D.N.Y. 2018) (citing cases and finding an hourly rate of \$711.53 to be reasonable); *Bennett v. Commissioner of Social Security*, No. 17-CV-2067, 2021 WL 3537165, at \*5 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 11, 2021) (combined attorney and paralegal rate of \$422.30 fell “well within the range of rates recently approved by courts in this [D]istrict and elsewhere in the Second Circuit”) (citations omitted).

Accordingly, Plaintiff’s motion is GRANTED, and Plaintiff’s counsel shall receive \$5,291.68 in attorneys’ fees. Upon receipt of fees, Plaintiff counsel shall refund \$3,171.25 to Plaintiff, being the amount of fees Plaintiff’s counsel received under the EAJA.

SO ORDERED.



---

ROBERT W. LEHRBURGER  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated: September 10, 2024  
New York, New York

Copies transmitted this date to all counsel of record.